

AUTHORS

His Hon Judge Becroft, Principal Youth Court Judge,

Judge Becroft is a graduate of Auckland University and practised as a solicitor at Fortune Manning and Partners before moving in 1986 to assist setting up the Mangere Community Law Centre. From 1993 he practised as a criminal barrister in South Auckland. In 1996 he was appointed to the District Court bench and in 2001 was appointed to his current position.

Aaron Lloyd, Senior Solicitor, Ministry of Social Development, City

Aaron advises the Ministry of Social Development on all youth justice and related legal issues, including the implementation of the CYPF (Youth Courts Jurisdiction and Orders) Amendment Act 2010. He graduated from Victoria University, was admitted in 1997, and has worked both in private practice and as in-house counsel for private companies. Aaron was a legal officer for the NZLS from 2004-07 before taking employment at MSD.

Fergus More, Scholefield Cockroft Lloyd, Invercargill

Fergus was admitted in December 1980, commenced practice in early 1981, and became a partner of his current firm in 1984. He was appointed a youth advocate in 1989, so has 23 years' experience in the role. Aligned to this work is his engagement with professionals outside the role. Fergus is member of the Board of Trustees and chair of the Disciplinary Committee of a local high school. He is chair of the Invercargill Students Support Network (ISSN) which enables him to work with the Principals and Board Chairs of the 5 local secondary schools.

Emily Bruce, Research Counsel to the Principal Youth Court Judge

Emily is the research counsel to the Principal Youth Court Judge. In her role, she provides legal and other research assistance to Judge Becroft, and other Youth Court Judges. She completed a BA(Hons) and LLB at Victoria University, and graduated in February 2012.

Acknowledgement

Aaron Lloyd wishes to acknowledge the support he received in working on this paper from Elizabeth Armstrong, Solicitor, Ministry of Social Development.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
1. LONGER ORDERS	3
INTRODUCTION	3
<i>Supervision with activity data</i>	3
<i>Supervision with residence data</i>	3
<i>Split sentencing</i>	3
SUPERVISION WITH RESIDENCE V DECLINING JURISDICTION	4
THE LEAST RESTRICTIVE OUTCOME PRINCIPLE	4
<i>S 289: Court must impose least restrictive outcome adequate in circumstances</i>	4
17 AND 18 YEAR OLDS	5
SUPERVISION WITH ACTIVITY CASES	5
SUPERVISION WITH RESIDENCE	8
<i>Changes to the orders</i>	8
<i>Split Sentencing Cases</i>	8
<i>References to Brain Development</i>	9
<i>Early remission (release)</i>	9
IMPOSITION OF YOUTH COURT ORDERS V TRANSFER TO DISTRICT COURT	11
JURISDICTION ISSUES – WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO SEXUAL OFFENDING	12
<i>Introduction</i>	12
<i>Sexual Offending – the Court’s response since 1 October 2010</i>	13
CONCLUSION	15
2. NEW ORDERS	17
INTRODUCTION	17
WHAT ARE THE NEW ORDERS?	17
<i>The Youth Court is now empowered to make:</i>	17
UPTAKE OF NEW ORDERS	17
<i>Overall uptake</i>	17
<i>Uptake in top 25 Courts</i>	18
WHY IS THIS?	18
WHAT DO WE THINK?	19
3. CHILD OFFENDERS	21
SUMMARY	21
FRESH START	22
PREVIOUS OFFENDER	22
<i>A child is a previous offender under section 272(1B) if:</i>	23
<i>Summary of the government’s response:</i>	26
4. BREACHES OF COURT ORDERS AND JUDICIAL MONITORING	29
SUMMARY	29
COMMENTS	30
HOW THE COURTS HAVE DEALT WITH BREACHES	30
JUDICIAL MONITORING – AN UPDATE	31
<i>Summary</i>	32
<i>Comment</i>	32
INTENSIVE SUPERVISION	33
REVIEW OF ORDERS FOR PERIODS OF AT LEAST EIGHT MONTHS	34
GENERAL COMMENTS – THE YOUTH ADVOCATE IN ACTION	34
5. LAY ADVOCATES	37
INTRODUCTION: LAY ADVOCATES’ HISTORICAL BACKGROUND	37
<i>The legislation</i>	37
<i>The recent “rise” of lay advocates</i>	38
<i>What is the role of a lay advocate?</i>	38
<i>Lay advocates’ relationships with others working in the Youth Court</i>	38
<i>Appointment and training for lay advocates</i>	38

6. INFORMATION SHARING PROTOCOL	41
CONTENT OF PROTOCOL.....	41
<i>What information can be shared?</i>	41
<i>Who can it be shared with?</i>	41
<i>Other provisions</i>	41
IMPLEMENTATION OF PROTOCOL	42
MORE INFORMATION.....	42
APPENDIX 1 – EXCERPTS FROM REPORT ON YOUTH ADVOCATES.....	43
APPENDIX 2 – EXCERPTS FROM THE SELECT COMMITTEE REPORT ON THE INQUIRY INTO THE IDENTIFICATION, REHABILITATION, AND CARE AND PROTECTION OF CHILD OFFENDERS	57
APPENDIX 3 – GOVERNMENT RESPONSE TO THE REPORT OF SOCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEE INTO THE INQUIRY INTO THE IDENTIFICATION, REHABILITATION, AND CARE AND PROTECTION OF CHILD OFFENDERS	63
APPENDIX 4 – LAY ADVOCATE ROLE DESCRIPTION	85
APPENDIX 5 – LAY ADVOCATE REPORT TO THE YOUTH COURT	89
APPENDIX 6 – PROTOCOL SHARING OF INFORMATION BETWEEN THE FAMILY AND YOUTH COURTS	95