


## PRESENTER



### **Philip Bartlett, Bartlett Law, Wellington**

Phil is a consultant with Bartlett Law in Wellington. He has had extensive experience in employment law litigation, as well as authoring or co-authoring Thomson Reuters texts and digital format works in this area.

*The statements and conclusions contained in this paper are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

# CONTENTS

<b>JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES IN THE EMPLOYMENT COURT AND <i>JP MORGAN CHASE BANK NA V LEWIS</i></b> .....	<b>1</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	1
<i>THE HIBERNIAN CATHOLIC BENEFIT SOCIETY V HAGAI (HAGAI)</i> .....	2
<i>JP MORGAN CHASE BANK NA V LEWIS</i> .....	3
COURT OF APPEAL – JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES .....	4
CATEGORIES OF CLAIM IDENTIFIED IN 2014 CONFERENCE PAPER .....	6
<i>Employees and ex-employees who improperly use/disclose confidential information or intellectual property</i> .....	6
<i>Employees who steal money or property</i> .....	7
<i>Employees in senior roles in a business who cause themselves to be overpaid</i> .....	7
<i>Claims by the employer against an employee/ex-employee for monies mistakenly overpaid</i> .....	7
<i>Ex-employees who were/still are directors of the employing entity and who are alleged to have breached fiduciary duties or duties of good faith</i> .....	7
<i>Ex-employees who were/are not directors, but were “high level”, and who are alleged to have breached fiduciary duties/duties of fidelity</i> .....	8
<i>Deadlocked small private companies where the principals are also employees</i> .....	8
<i>Recovery of loans made by the employee (or ex-employee) to the employer or vice versa</i> .....	8
<i>Proceedings by an employee against an employer seeking indemnity for negligence claims made by other parties against the employee with regard to work performed for the employer</i> .....	8
<i>“Unlawful means” conspiracy claims against ex-employees and their new employers/co-owners of a competing business (in this type of case the ex-employee was often also a director of the former employer)</i> .....	9
CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS .....	9