

## PRESENTER



### **Dr Bruce Smyth, Australian National University, Canberra**

Bruce is an Associate Professor at the Australian Demographic and Social Research Institute, Australian National University (ANU), and an Australian Research Council Future Fellow. Prior to moving to the ANU, Bruce worked at the Australian Institute of Family Studies, and was a member of the Ministerial Taskforce on Child Support. He has published widely in the area of post-separation parenting, and is on the editorial boards of *Family Court Review* (USA), *Journal of Family Studies*, and *Australian Journal of Family Law*.

*The statements and conclusions contained in this paper are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

# CONTENTS

<b>ENDURING CHILD CUSTODY DISPUTES – HIGH-CONFLICT OR PATHOLOGICAL HATRED?</b> .....	<b>1</b>
ABSTRACT .....	1
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. THE PREVALENCE OF POST-SEPARATION PARENTAL CONFLICT .....	3
III. ‘HIGH-CONFLICT’ .....	4
<i>Figure 1. Conceptualizing ‘high-conflict’ cases as the intersection of multiple mutually-reinforcing areas of complexity.</i> .....	5
IV. HIGH-CONFLICT: A CONCEPT IN SEARCH OF A DEFINITION? .....	6
V. PATHOLOGICAL HATRED.....	7
VI. PATHOLOGICAL HATRED: ITS SPECIAL QUALITIES .....	8
VII. THE PSYCHODYNAMICS OF PATHOLOGICAL HATRED .....	9
VIII. WORKING WITH ENTRENCHED HIGH-CONFLICT AND ‘PATHOLOGICAL HATRED’ .....	11
<i>Strategy 1: ‘BIFF’</i> .....	13
<i>Strategy 2: ‘So what’s your proposal?’</i> .....	13
IX. CONCLUSION .....	13
<i>Acknowledgement</i> .....	15
REFERENCES .....	15