

PRESENTERS

Greg Kelly, Greg Kelly Law Limited, Wellington

Greg has 30 years' experience in the wills, trusts and estate area. He is a member of the Wills and Trusts Committee of the NZLS Property Law Section, and of STEP and chaired the NZLS CLE Trusts Conference in 2009 and 2011. Greg is a contributor to *Butterworth's Law of Trusts*, probate and administration author for McGechan on *Procedure*, co-author of *Garrow and Kelly Law of Trusts and Trustees* (6th edition) and of *Dobbie's Probate and Administration Practice* (5th edition).

Jacinda Rennie, McWilliam Rennie, Wellington

Jacinda has been practising for 15 years, and is a partner at McWilliam Rennie, a specialist family law firm. She is a contributor to *Brookers Family Law – Family Property* in relation to section 21 Agreements. Jacinda was a presenter for the NZLS CLE 2007 seminar on section 21 Agreements and at the 2012 Death and the Law intensive.

Acknowledgement

This webinar is sourced from a session delivered at the NZLS CLE Ltd, Death and the Law intensive (May 2012). The presenters gratefully acknowledge the contribution of Bill Patterson's paper on Testamentary Promises.

Bill Patterson, Patterson Hopkins, Auckland

Bill has an extensive trust, commercial and equity litigation practice. He has presented many papers for NZLS, ADLS and the Institute of Chartered Accountants, including all six of the 1999 to 2009 NZLS CLE Trusts Conferences, three of them as chair. He also does regular topics for the ICANZ's Trusts Special Interest Group.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. OPTION A OR OPTION B – DIVISION OF RELATIONSHIP PROPERTY AFTER DEATH

COMMONLY USED TERMS.....	1
WHO DOES PART 8 APPLY TO?	2
<i>Date of the will</i>	2
<i>Marriages and Civil Unions</i>	2
<i>De facto relationships</i>	2
WHAT HAPPENS IF BOTH PARTNERS HAVE DIED?	3
WHAT CHOICE DOES THE SURVIVING PARTNER HAVE?.....	3
WHO SHOULD ADVISE THE SURVIVOR?	4
WHAT ARE THE TIME LIMITS FOR MAKING THE ELECTION?	4
WHAT INFORMATION IS NECESSARY TO ADVISE THE SURVIVOR ON THE CHOICE OF ELECTION?.....	4
WHAT ARE THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF OPTION A?.....	5
WHAT ARE THE ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF OPTION B?.....	7
HOW IS THE ELECTION MADE?	7
WHAT IF THE ELECTION IS NOT MADE IN TIME?.....	8
WHAT IF THE SURVIVOR WANTS TO CHANGE THEIR CHOICE?.....	8
WHAT ARE THE TIME LIMITS FOR FILING COURT PROCEEDINGS?	10
WHAT EFFECT DO S 21 AGREEMENTS (PRE-NUPTIAL AGREEMENTS) HAVE?	11
HOW CAN THE SURVIVOR GET A BIGGER SLICE OF THE PIE?	11
<i>Extra entitlements # 1 – Application to Court to keep gifts and entitlements under will</i>	11
<i>Extra entitlements # 2 – application to court to determine status of property</i>	12
<i>Extra entitlements # 3 – Family Protection / Testamentary Promises</i>	12
WHEN CAN THE ESTATE FILE PROCEEDINGS?	13
WHO GETS PRIORITY?	13
WHO WILL BE INVOLVED IN COURT PROCEEDINGS?	14
HOW LONG WILL COURT PROCEEDINGS TAKE?.....	15
IS IT POSSIBLE TO SETTLE MATTERS OUT OF COURT?.....	15
PRACTICAL ADVICE.....	15

2. FAMILY PROTECTION ACT 1955..... 17

INTRODUCTION	17
SOME PROBLEM AREAS	17
QUANTIFYING CLAIMS	17
ABOLITION OF GIFT DUTY	19
THE POTENTIAL DOWNSIDE OF THE ABOLITION OF GIFT DUTY.....	20
SPECIFIC EXAMPLES	21
<i>Second spouse/partner v children of earlier relationship</i>	22
<i>Adult children of previous relationship v minor children of later relationship</i>	23
<i>Estrangement cases</i>	24
<i>Devoted child v indifferent siblings</i>	25
<i>Adult children under a disability – use of a trust</i>	25
<i>Structure of trusts important</i>	27
<i>Assessing the needs of a very young claimant</i>	28
CONCLUSION	29

3. TESTAMENTARY PROMISES 31

INTRODUCTION	31
<i>Section 3(1) of the Law Reform (Testamentary Promises) Act 1949 provides as follows:</i>	31
<i>Section 3(2) provides that the section shall apply:</i>	31
<i>Section 3(8) provides that:</i>	31
<i>The rationale for the Act is explained in McCormack v Foley [1983] NZLR 57 as follows:</i>	31
<i>What the cases establish</i>	32
<i>Interface with Family Protection Act</i>	33
<i>Main Themes</i>	33
<i>Services or work in a family context</i>	34
<i>Netting off</i>	36
<i>Promise to benefit A in reward for B’s service</i>	37
<i>Evidential problems</i>	38

<i>Competing claims</i>	38
<i>Proprietary estoppel</i>	39
<i>Gillett v Holt</i>	39
<i>Thorner v Majors</i>	40
<i>Promise must relate to specific property</i>	40
<i>A better result possible?</i>	40
SOLICITOR NEGLIGENCE IN WILL DRAFTING	41
4. MUTUAL WILLS	43
INTRODUCTION/BACKGROUND	43
ADVICE.....	44
5. DOCUMENTING ARRANGEMENTS.....	47
FAMILY PROTECTION/TESTAMENTARY PROMISES CLAIMS	47
MINORS AND PERSONS UNDER A DISABILITY	47
TRUSTEES	48
RELATIONSHIP PROPERTY AGREEMENTS	49
<i>Providing independent legal advice</i>	50
<i>Court approval of agreements</i>	50
MEDIATED AGREEMENTS	50
6. APPENDIX ONE – NOTICE OF CHOICE OPTION A OR B	53
7. APPENDIX TWO – DEED OF FAMILY ARRANGEMENT	55