

## PRESENTERS

### **David Friar, Bell Gully, Auckland**

David is a senior associate in the litigation team at Bell Gully. Before returning to New Zealand, he practiced as a commercial litigator in New York for six years. David has substantial experience in dealing with discovery issues and disputes in both New Zealand and the United States. Given that the new discovery rules draw on a number of concepts used in the United States, David has a valuable insight into how the rules will work in practice.

### **Andrew King, E-Discovery Consulting, Auckland**

Andrew is the managing director and litigation support consultant of E-Discovery Consulting. He advises on strategies to simplify the discovery process for lawyers with a focus on technology. Andrew has 13 years' experience co-ordinating discovery exercises for law firms in New Zealand and the United Kingdom. He was part of the working group of the High Court Rules Committee looking into the reform of discovery rules and establishing a modernised framework for dealing with electronic discovery.

### **Laura O'Gorman, Buddle Findlay, Auckland**

Laura is a partner in the Auckland litigation and insolvency teams of Buddle Findlay. She is a past member of the ADLS Law & Technology Committee and a past author of the New Zealand chapter in *Electronic Evidence: Disclosure, Discovery and Admissibility* (2007 LexisNexis). Laura has a special interest in technology issues, electronic discovery and litigation support tools. She was a member of the working group of the High Court Rules Committee looking into the reform of discovery rules. Laura co-presented the NLZS seminar *Electronic Discovery* (March/April, 2009).

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

# CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. THE NEED FOR REFORM</b>	<b>3</b>
DISCOVERY IS TOO COSTLY AND TIME-CONSUMING	3
<i>The old discovery rules required “broad” and “expansive” discovery</i>	5
<i>Substantial growth in the number of paper documents in the past century</i>	5
<i>Exponential growth of electronically-stored information in the past decade</i>	6
<i>Costs and delays in discovery procedures</i>	7
<i>Lack of proportionality</i>	7
DISCOVERY IS USED INAPPROPRIATELY FOR TACTICAL ADVANTAGE	8
<i>The purpose of discovery</i>	8
<i>The tactical use of discovery</i>	8
THE NEW DISCOVERY RULES	9
<b>3. NEW PRINCIPLES</b>	<b>11</b>
COOPERATION	11
<i>How does cooperation work in practice?</i>	11
<i>Potential barriers to effective cooperation</i>	12
<i>Limits to cooperation</i>	13
PROPORTIONALITY	13
<i>International context</i>	13
<i>Two-step test for proportionality</i>	14
<i>Global test for proportionality</i>	14
<i>Particular test for proportionality</i>	14
<i>Related rules</i>	15
<i>Limits to proportionality</i>	15
PRACTICAL ARRANGEMENTS	16
USE OF TECHNOLOGY	16
CASE MANAGEMENT	16
<b>4. NEW TERMINOLOGY</b>	<b>19</b>
DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION	19
ELECTRONIC DISCOVERY	20
<b>5. PRESERVATION OF DOCUMENTS</b>	<b>23</b>
WHEN IS HCR 8.3 TRIGGERED?	23
WHAT STEPS MUST BE TAKEN ONCE HCR 8.3 IS TRIGGERED?	24
<i>What types of documents are reasonably likely to be discoverable?</i>	25
<i>Where are those documents reasonably likely to be located?</i>	25
<i>What reasonable steps should be taken to preserve those documents?</i>	26
<i>How may a prospective party’s preservation obligations change over time?</i>	27
IF HCR 8.3 IS NOT COMPLIED WITH, WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES?	28
LAWYERS’ DUTIES UNDER HCR 8.3	29
AN INDEPENDENT TORT OF SPOILIATION?	30
INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE	30
<i>Zubulake v UBS Warburg LLC (Zubulake V)</i>	31
<i>United States v Phillip Morris USA Inc</i>	31
<i>Victor Stanley, Inc v Creative Pipe, Inc</i>	32
<i>Pension Committee of Univ of Montreal Pension Plan v Banc of America Securities, LLC</i>	32
<i>The US rule</i>	32
<i>The English rule</i>	33
<b>6. INITIAL DISCLOSURE</b>	<b>35</b>
IN WHAT CIRCUMSTANCES IS INITIAL DISCLOSURE REQUIRED?	35
WHAT DOCUMENTS MUST BE DISCLOSED?	36
WHEN MUST THE DOCUMENTS BE DISCLOSED?	37
HOW MUST THE DOCUMENTS BE DISCLOSED?	37
WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES OF FAILING TO COMPLY?	38

INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE .....	38
<i>Australia</i> .....	38
<i>United States</i> .....	39
<b>7. DISCOVERY ORDERS .....</b>	<b>41</b>
OUT WITH THE OLD - <i>PERUVIAN GUANO</i> .....	41
IN WITH THE NEW - TWO KINDS OF DISCOVERY .....	42
TIMING OF DISCOVERY ORDERS .....	43
STANDARD DISCOVERY AND ADVERSE DOCUMENTS .....	44
TAILORED DISCOVERY AND BESPOKE CATEGORIES .....	45
<b>8. PREPARING FOR THE FIRST CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE .....</b>	<b>49</b>
DISCOVERY CHECKLIST.....	49
<i>Proportionality</i> .....	49
<i>Extent of the search</i> .....	50
<i>Tailored discovery</i> .....	51
<i>Listing and exchange</i> .....	52
<i>Presenting documents at trial</i> .....	54
SEEK TO AGREE 10 DAYS BEFORE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE .....	54
FILE MEMORANDA .....	54
<b>9. IDENTIFYING, COLLECTING, SEARCHING FOR AND REVIEWING DOCUMENTS ...</b>	<b>55</b>
ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT ISSUES .....	55
<i>What is electronic discovery?</i> .....	55
<i>The discovery process</i> .....	56
EDRM.....	56
<i>Unnecessary practices applied to electronic documents</i> .....	57
IDENTIFYING DOCUMENTS .....	58
<i>What do you have?</i> .....	59
<i>Where is it located?</i> .....	59
<i>What are the costs involved in discovering this information?</i> .....	59
COLLECTION .....	59
SEARCHING.....	60
REASONABLE SEARCH .....	60
<i>Methods and strategies for locating documents</i> .....	61
DOCUMENT REVIEW .....	62
<i>How to reduce these review costs?</i> .....	63
MANAGING COSTS .....	65
THE EFFECTIVE USE OF TECHNOLOGY .....	66
<i>How do other jurisdictions address the effective use of technology?</i> .....	66
<i>Is technology the solution?</i> .....	66
ECA Technology.....	67
Cloud Computing .....	67
<b>10. LISTING AND EXCHANGE PROTOCOL .....</b>	<b>69</b>
WHY A PROTOCOL?.....	69
<i>Protocols in overseas jurisdictions</i> .....	69
WHAT ARE THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE PROTOCOL?.....	70
<i>Protocol requirements</i> .....	70
<i>List of documents format</i> .....	70
<i>Specific document decisions</i> .....	71
<i>Privilege</i> .....	73
<i>Redactions</i> .....	73
<i>Exchange format</i> .....	73
TECHNOLOGY REQUIREMENTS .....	74
SUMMARY OF THE PROTOCOL .....	75
<b>11. OTHER CHANGES TO THE RULES.....</b>	<b>77</b>
PARTIES' CONTINUING OBLIGATIONS .....	77
COST-SHIFTING .....	77
USE OF DOCUMENTS.....	79
CONTEMPT.....	81

SWAMPING.....	81
AUTHENTICITY .....	82
COMMON BUNDLE.....	82
<b>12. TRANSITION.....</b>	<b>83</b>
<i>Proceedings filed after 1 February 2012 .....</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>Proceedings filed before 1 February 2012, but with no discovery orders in place.....</i>	<i>83</i>
<i>Proceedings filed and discovery orders in place before 1 February 2012.....</i>	<i>83</i>
<b>APPENDIX 1.....</b>	<b>85</b>
HIGH COURT AMMENDMENT RULES (NO 2) 2011.....	85
<b>APPENDIX 2.....</b>	<b>131</b>
COMPARATIVE PROVISIONS IN COMMONWEALTH JURISDICTIONS.....	131
<b>APPENDIX 3.....</b>	<b>133</b>
DISCOVERY CHECKLIST PROCESS.....	133
<b>APPENDIX 4.....</b>	<b>135</b>
SAMPLE TAILORED DISCOVERY CATEGORIES .....	135
<b>APPENDIX 5.....</b>	<b>139</b>
SAMPLE DOCUMENT RETENTION NOTICE .....	139
<b>APPENDIX 6.....</b>	<b>141</b>
SAMPLE JOINT MEMORANDUM .....	141
<b>APPENDIX 7.....</b>	<b>149</b>
SAMPLE AFFIDAVIT OF DOCUMENTS .....	149