

## PRESENTERS

### **The Hon Justice Terence Arnold, Wellington**

Terence Arnold was appointed a judge of the High Court and Court of Appeal in May 2006. Justice Arnold was Solicitor General from 2000 until his appointment to the bench. As Solicitor General he was also chair of the Ministerial Advisory Group which provided recommendations to the then Attorney General on the purpose, structure, composition and role of the new Supreme Court. Prior to his appointment as Solicitor-General he was a partner of Chapman Tripp Sheffield Young 1985 - 1994. He became a barrister sole in 1994 and was appointed Queen's Counsel in 1997. Before joining Chapman Tripp Justice Arnold taught at Victoria University in Wellington as well as at several Canadian universities. He has taught, written and presented seminars in a variety of areas and has been active in the development of skills based training for lawyers.

### **David Goddard QC, Wellington**

David is a Queen's Counsel based in Wellington. He has a wide ranging commercial litigation practice, with an emphasis on competition law and market regulation, company law, contract law and the law of obligations, public law and international law. He also has a substantial involvement in law reform in New Zealand and overseas. David publishes and speaks regularly in his areas of specialisation, and has taught at universities in New Zealand, Australia and England. He is the Council of Legal Education moderator for contract law. David has practised as a barrister sole since 1999, and was appointed Queen's Counsel in 2003. Before that, he was a partner in the firm Chapman Tripp Sheffield Young from 1992 – 1998.

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

# CONTENTS

<b>1.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>CONDUCT – WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT DO TO MISLEAD THE PLAINTIFF? .....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	THE ISSUE.....	5
2.2	DIFFERENT TYPES OF STATEMENT: FACTS, OPINIONS, PREDICTIONS, INTENTIONS, PROMISES .....	5
2.3	EXPRESS STATEMENTS OF FACT – WHEN ARE THEY INSUFFICIENT TO ESTABLISH LIABILITY?.....	6
	<i>Reliance – an essential ingredient .....</i>	<i>7</i>
	<i>Xanthe is not entitled to rely on the statement .....</i>	<i>9</i>
2.4	IMPLIED STATEMENTS.....	14
	<i>Implied statements generally.....</i>	<i>14</i>
	<i>Predictions and opinions about the future .....</i>	<i>15</i>
	<i>Statements in the form of opinions .....</i>	<i>17</i>
	<i>What is implicit in a statement of intention (which is not a contractual promise)?.....</i>	<i>19</i>
	<i>What is implicit in a contractual promise? .....</i>	<i>20</i>
2.5	CONDUCT .....	20
2.6	SILENCE AND FAILURES TO WARN/DISCLOSE .....	21
	<i>Non-disclosure as a misrepresentation .....</i>	<i>21</i>
	<i>Non-disclosure and the Fair Trading Act .....</i>	<i>23</i>
2.7	ASSUMING RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ACCURACY OF A THIRD PARTY’S STATEMENTS.....	24
2.8	FAILURE TO DISABUSE THE PLAINTIFF OF A KNOWN (OR SUSPECTED) ERROR .....	25
2.9	SHARED MISCONCEPTIONS .....	26
2.10	DISAPPOINTMENT OF DEFENDANT’S OBJECTIVE IN ENTERING INTO A TRANSACTION.....	26
2.11	MERE PUFFS.....	28
2.12	WHO IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE CONDUCT?.....	28
	<i>Liability of principal for agent’s statements .....</i>	<i>28</i>
	<i>When is a company director or employee personally liable in respect of statement? .....</i>	<i>29</i>
<b>3.</b>	<b>KNOWLEDGE – WHAT DID THE DEFENDANT KNOW [ABOUT WHAT?] AND DOES IT MATTER? .....</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	WHAT DO WE MEAN BY KNOWLEDGE?.....	33
3.2	WHEN DOES KNOWLEDGE MATTER? .....	35
	<i>Claims under statute .....</i>	<i>36</i>
	<i>Non-statutory causes of action.....</i>	<i>41</i>
	<i>Common law claims .....</i>	<i>49</i>
3.3	KNOWLEDGE AND CORPORATE DEFENDANTS.....	53
3.4	THE SPECIAL POSITION OF THIRD PARTIES.....	54
3.5	CHANGE OF POSITION .....	54
<b>4.</b>	<b>CAUSES OF ACTION AND THEIR ELEMENTS.....</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1	INTRODUCTION.....	59
	<i>Claims against the deceiver .....</i>	<i>59</i>
	<i>Claims against third parties.....</i>	<i>60</i>
4.2	FAIR TRADING ACT 1986 .....	60
4.3	BREACH OF A CONTRACTUAL TERM, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED.....	62
	<i>Express terms .....</i>	<i>63</i>
	<i>Implied terms.....</i>	<i>63</i>
4.4	CONTRACTUAL REMEDIES ACT 1979, SS 6 AND 9.....	64
4.5	THE TORT OF DECEIT.....	66
	<i>Proprietary claims based on deceit.....</i>	<i>68</i>
4.6	THE TORT OF NEGLIGENT MISSTATEMENT.....	70
4.7	BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY .....	72
4.8	ESTOPPEL .....	73
4.9	THE CONTRACTUAL MISTAKES ACT 1977.....	76
4.10	THE ILLEGAL CONTRACTS ACT 1970 .....	77
4.11	PROPRIETARY CLAIMS AT LAW .....	77
4.12	PROPRIETARY CLAIMS IN EQUITY.....	78

4.13	MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED .....	79
4.14	“KNOWING RECEIPT OR DEALING” .....	80
4.15	UNJUST ENRICHMENT? .....	81
4.16	CLAIMS AGAINST THIRD PARTIES .....	82
	<i>Third party “involved in” the deception</i> .....	82
	<i>Third party enriched as a result of the deception</i> .....	83
	<i>Third party should have prevented the deception</i> .....	84
4.17	DISHONEST ACCESSORY LIABILITY .....	84
<b>5.</b>	<b>CONTRACTING OUT</b> .....	<b>87</b>
5.1	GENERAL COMMENTS .....	87
5.2	CONTRACTUAL REMEDIES ACT .....	88
5.3	CONTRACTUAL MISTAKES ACT .....	91
5.4	FAIR TRADING ACT .....	92
<b>6.</b>	<b>RELIEF</b> .....	<b>97</b>
6.1	INTRODUCTION .....	97
6.2	THE MOVE TOWARDS GREATER FLEXIBILITY IN REMEDIES .....	97
6.3	EXPECTATION DAMAGES UNDER THE FAIR TRADING ACT? .....	99
6.4	CAUSATION AND REMOTENESS TESTS APPLIED TO EQUITABLE COMPENSATION .....	104
6.5	SCOPE OF DUTY IN TORT AS A LIMIT ON TYPES AND AMOUNTS OF RECOVERABLE LOSS .....	107
	<i>Limits on type of loss recoverable/plaintiffs who can recover loss</i> .....	107
	<i>Limits on quantum of loss recoverable</i> .....	109
6.6	WHERE DOES THIS LEAVE US?.....	113
6.7	EXPECTATION DAMAGES.....	113
6.8	PROPRIETARY REMEDIES AGAINST THE PRIMARY WRONGDOER .....	115
	<i>Property interest retained by the victim</i> .....	115
	<i>Institutional constructive trusts</i> .....	116
	<i>Statutory discretion to order return of property</i> .....	118
	<i>Discretionary relief of a proprietary nature</i> .....	119
6.9	PROPRIETARY REMEDIES AGAINST A THIRD PARTY .....	122
6.10	REMEDIES BASED ON THE WRONGDOER’S GAIN .....	123
6.11	COMPENSATION FOR LOSSES – SOME RELEVANT FACTORS .....	125
6.12	DOING BETTER THAN COMPENSATION FOR RELIANCE LOSSES – THE NEED FOR AN “X-FACTOR” .....	126
<b>7.</b>	<b>LIMITATION</b> .....	<b>129</b>
7.1	INTRODUCTION .....	129
7.2	FAIR TRADING ACT CLAIMS.....	129
7.3	CONTRACT CLAIMS .....	130
7.4	NEGLIGENT MISSTATEMENT.....	130
7.5	DECEIT .....	131
7.6	CLAIMS FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY .....	131
7.7	KNOWING RECEIPT AND DISHONEST ACCESSORY CLAIMS .....	132
	<i>Knowing receipt or dealing</i> .....	132
	<i>Dishonest accessories</i> .....	134
7.8	OTHER COMMON “DECEPTION-RELATED” CLAIMS .....	134
7.9	EXTENSION OF LIMITATION PERIOD IN CASES OF FRAUD .....	134
<b>8.</b>	<b>A WORD ABOUT CONTRIBUTORY FAULT</b> .....	<b>137</b>
	<b>PROBLEM 1 – MARGARET’S MARVELLOUS MUFFINS</b> .....	<b>141</b>
	<b>PROBLEM 2 – HARRY’S HOPELESS HONEY</b> .....	<b>143</b>