

## **PRESENTERS**

### **Andy Nicholls, Chapman Tripp, Wellington**

Andy is a partner in Chapman Tripp's national competition law group. He has extensive experience advising clients across all sectors of the economy on the challenges, opportunities and pitfalls of competition law. Andy was the principal lawyer at the Ministry of Economic Development advising on the major reforms to the Commerce Act in 2001. He teaches the competition law course at the University of Victoria's Faculty of Law.

### **Matt Sumpter, Chapman Tripp, Auckland**

Matt is a partner in Chapman Tripp's national competition law group. He teaches the competition law course at the University of Auckland's Faculty of Law. Matt advises on all aspects of trade practice law and policy. He has published and reviewed many articles, book chapters and conference papers on competition law topics.

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

---

# CONTENTS

<b>1. COMPETITION LAW FOR THE NON-SPECIALIST .....</b>	<b>1</b>
WHY DO WE HAVE COMPETITION LAW? .....	1
WHAT IS COMPETITION? .....	1
KEY MESSAGES .....	3
NEW ZEALAND COMPETITION LAW: KEY PLAYERS AND INSTITUTIONS .....	5
<b>2. KEY CONCEPTS IN COMPETITION LAW .....</b>	<b>6</b>
MARKET DEFINITION .....	6
DIMENSIONS OF A MARKET .....	6
THE BASIC IDEA: SUBSTITUTABILITY .....	7
THE SSNIP TEST: A TOOL FOR DEFINING MARKETS .....	9
MARKET DEFINITION IN PRACTICE .....	10
POTENTIAL “TRAPS” TO AVOID WHEN DEFINING MARKETS .....	11
<i>Resist the temptation to define the market artificially wide</i> .....	11
<i>Network industries</i> .....	11
<i>The test is not price equivalence</i> .....	12
<i>Avoid the cellophane fallacy</i> .....	12
COMPETITION AND MARKET POWER .....	12
MARKET POWER .....	14
<b>3. THE SOFT-HANDED REGULATION OF RESTRICTIVE TRADE PRACTICES .....</b>	<b>16</b>
INTRODUCTION TO PART 2 OF THE COMMERCE ACT .....	16
<i>The per se / rule of reason dichotomy</i> .....	16
PART 2 THRESHOLD CONCEPT: CONTRACT, ARRANGEMENT OR UNDERSTANDING? .....	17
ARRANGEMENTS AND UNDERSTANDINGS: THE AUSTRALIAN APPROACH .....	20
PART 2 THRESHOLD CONCEPT: ANTI-COMPETITIVE PURPOSE .....	23
<b>4. CARTELS AND ‘HARD-CORE’ CONDUCT .....</b>	<b>25</b>
THE HISTORY OF CARTEL BEHAVIOUR .....	25
WHY ARE CARTELS SO BAD? .....	26
MARKETS PRONE TO CARTELISATION .....	26
CARTELS AND THE COMMERCE ACT 1986 .....	27
ELEMENTS OF THE S 30 CAUSE OF ACTION .....	28
PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE ‘ARRANGEMENT/UNDERSTANDING’ MISUNDERSTANDING .....	29
NAKED PRICE FIXING .....	30
NAKED PRICE FIXING CASE LAW .....	31
MARKET DIVISION AGREEMENTS .....	31
ARE MARKET DIVISION ARRANGEMENTS <i>PER SE</i> ILLEGAL? .....	32
CARTELS AND RECESSIONS .....	33
CARTELS AND INDUSTRY ARRANGEMENTS .....	33
<b>5. ARRANGEMENTS ‘SUBSTANTIALLY LESSENING COMPETITION’ .....</b>	<b>35</b>
SECTION 27 AND THE SLC TEST .....	35
COMPETITION .....	35
LESSENING COMPETITION .....	35
SUBSTANTIAL .....	36
OTHER POINTS .....	37
<b>6. VERTICAL RESTRAINTS OF TRADE .....</b>	<b>39</b>
LONG TERM CONTRACTS .....	39
LONG-TERM CONTRACTS: COUNTERFACTUAL ANALYSIS .....	40
EXCLUSIVE DEALING ARRANGEMENTS .....	41
“ENGLISH CLAUSES” AND PRICE MATCHING PROVISIONS .....	43
TYING ARRANGEMENTS .....	43
RESALE PRICE MAINTENANCE .....	44
PRICE CEILINGS .....	46

EXCLUDING RIVALS THROUGH COLLECTIVE BOYCOTT .....	46
COLLECTIVE BOYCOTTS: s 29.....	47
DEFENCES AND IMPLICATIONS FOR VERTICALLY INTEGRATED FIRMS .....	47
<b>7. JOINT VENTURES AND THE COMMERCE ACT .....</b>	<b>48</b>
THE COMMERCE ACT’S JV PRICING EXEMPTION .....	48
SECTION 31 CASE STUDY: RE MANAWATU FUNERAL DIRECTORS .....	49
<b>8. SINGLE FIRM CONDUCT: S 36 AND THE MISUSE OF MARKET POWER.....</b>	<b>52</b>
KEY ISSUES OF POLICY .....	52
<i>Policy position #1: mere possession of market power is not a concern.....</i>	52
<i>Policy position #2: monopolists are allowed to compete.....</i>	53
<i>Policy position #3: competition law protects the competitive process, not competitors.....</i>	53
<i>Policy position #4: clear rules are important .....</i>	54
SOME IMPLICATIONS .....	55
HOW POWERFUL IS POWERFUL ENOUGH? .....	55
TAKING ADVANTAGE .....	57
THE COUNTERFACTUAL TEST .....	57
CONTROVERSY OVER THE COUNTERFACTUAL TEST.....	58
A BROADER APPROACH? .....	59
PROSCRIBED ANTI-COMPETITIVE PURPOSE .....	60
TO RECAP: THE S 36 INQUIRY .....	61
SOME COMMON ALLEGATIONS: PREDATORY PRICING.....	61
SOME COMMON ALLEGATIONS: BUNDLING.....	63
<i>Pure bundles.....</i>	63
<i>Full-line and third-line forcing obligations .....</i>	63
<i>Mixed bundles .....</i>	64
SOME COMMON ALLEGATIONS: REFUSAL TO DEAL .....	66
SECTION 36 IN PRACTICE.....	66
<b>9. ACQUISITIONS AFFECTING COMPETITION.....</b>	<b>68</b>
THE COMMISSION’S COMPETITION FRAMEWORK.....	68
FACTUAL VS COUNTERFACTUAL .....	68
EXISTING COMPETITION .....	70
POTENTIAL COMPETITION .....	71
BARRIERS TO ENTRY AND CONDITIONS OF ENTRY .....	71
OTHER COMPETITION FACTORS .....	74
IS THERE ENHANCED MARKET POWER OR INCREASED SCOPE FOR COORDINATION OR COLLUSION? .....	74
THE COMMISSION’S SAFE HARBOURS.....	75
FAILING FIRMS .....	76
CASE STUDY: SOUTHERN CROSS HEALTH TRUST AND AORANGI HOSPITAL LIMITED (DECISION 650).....	78
MAVERICK COMPETITORS .....	78
THE CLEARANCE PROCESS.....	79
THE IMPLICATIONS FOR THE CLEARANCE PROCESS FOLLOWING THE WAREHOUSE CASES .....	80
CASE STUDY: HOW NOT TO APPLY FOR CLEARANCE.....	81
AUTHORISATIONS .....	82
<i>Analysis of benefits and detriments.....</i>	82
<i>Draw-backs of the standard authorisation process .....</i>	83
NEW “STREAMLINED” PROCESS.....	83
<b>10. COMMERCE ACT ENFORCEMENT AND PENALTIES .....</b>	<b>85</b>
THE COMMERCE COMMISSION’S INVESTIGATORY POWERS .....	85
THE COMMERCE COMMISSION’S ENFORCEMENT CRITERIA .....	85
SECTION 98 NOTICES – GENERAL .....	86
SECTION 98(A) AND (B) NOTICES – REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS/INFORMATION .....	86
<i>To whom is a notice addressed? .....</i>	86
WHAT INFORMATION AND/OR DOCUMENTS CAN THE COMMISSION REQUEST? .....	87
ELECTRONIC INFORMATION .....	87
WHAT TIME LIMIT APPLIES? .....	88
ARE TELEPHONE CALLS PRIVATE? .....	88
SECTION 98 (C) HEARINGS .....	88

---

DAWN RAIDS: SECTION 98A SEARCH WARRANTS .....	90
THE TRANZ RAIL CASE .....	91
WHAT ARE THE CONSEQUENCES OF REFUSAL OR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH A S 98 NOTICE? .....	92
WHAT IS THE POSITION ON PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS? .....	93
LENIENCY PROGRAMMES .....	93
LENIENCY POLICY FOR CARTEL CONDUCT .....	93
CO-OPERATION POLICY .....	94
ISSUES WITH THE LENIENCY AND CO-OPERATION POLICIES .....	95
DAMAGES IN PRIVATE ACTIONS .....	96
<i>Damages and the “passing on” defence</i> .....	97
INJUNCTIONS .....	97
COMMERCE ACT PENALTIES: IT’S ABOUT DETERRENCE .....	98
HOW MUCH? .....	99
THE ECONOMIC APPROACH TO GENERAL DETERRENCE .....	99
RECENT PRICE FIXING PENALTY CASES .....	100
<i>Commerce Commission v Koppers Wood Protection (NZ) Limited (HC Auckland, 6 April 2006, CIV 2005-404-2080, Williams J; Koppers defendants):</i> .....	100
<i>Commerce Commission v Koppers Wood Protection (NZ) Limited (HC Auckland, 6 April 2006, CIV 2005-404-2080, Williams J; Osmose defendants):</i> .....	100
<i>Commerce Commission v Koppers Arch Wood Protection (NZ) Limited (HC Auckland, 8 February 2008, CIV 2005-404-2080, Williams J; Fernz defendants):</i> .....	101
<i>ACCC v ABB [2004] FCA 819</i> .....	101
<i>Schneider Electric (Australia) Pty Ltd v ACCC [2003] FCA 2</i> .....	102
<i>ACCC v Visy (No 3) [2007] FCA 1617</i> .....	102
OTHER PENALTY CASES .....	104
TABLE OF COMMERCE ACT PENALTY CASES .....	104
<b>APPENDIX 1: SEARCH WARRANT CHECK LIST FOR CLIENTS/ADVISERS .....</b>	<b>115</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2: COMMERCE COMMISSION POLICIES ON LENIENCY, CO-OPERATION AND CEASE AND DESIST ORDERS .....</b>	<b>116</b>