

## PRESENTERS



### **Andrew Barker, Barrister, Shortland Chambers, Auckland**

Andrew is a barrister in practice at Shortland Chambers. He formerly lectured in tort law at the University of Otago. Andrew has written and presented extensively on issues in tort law, and negligence liability in particular, as well as more general issues in commercial litigation.



### **Professor Geoff McLay, Faculty of Law, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington**

Professor McLay has taught and written about the law of torts throughout his 20 years at Victoria University. He has also taught torts in both Canada and the United States. Geoff served as Law Commissioner from 2010 – 2015 and is also the editor of the New Zealand Law Reports.

Professor McLay wishes to acknowledge Sean Brennan, Research Assistant, Victoria University, as co-author of chapters 1-4 of this booklet.

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

Cover and text stocks used in this publication are from Forestry Stewardship Council certified mills, manufactured under the environmentally responsible paper manufactured environmental management system ISO 14001, using pulp from well managed forests and other controlled sources.

# CONTENTS

<b>1. NEGLIGENCE REDUX: PLUS ÇA CHANGE, PLUS C'EST LA MÊME CHOSE?.....</b>	<b>1</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	1
GOVERNMENT LIABILITY FOR FAILING TO PREVENT HARM CAUSED BY THIRD PARTIES.....	1
THE FUTURE OF ECONOMIC LOSS: <i>CARTER HOLT HARVEY LTD v MINISTER OF EDUCATION</i> [2015] NZCA 321, (2015) 14 TCLR 106.....	4
THE AUSTRALIAN POSITION ON ECONOMIC LOSS: <i>BROOKFIELD MULTIPLEX LTD v OWNERS CORPORATION STRATA PLAN 61288</i> [2014] HCA 36, (2014) 254 CLR 185.....	7
LIMITING BUILDING CASES TO THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH INFORMATION WAS SUPPLIED: <i>MONTICELLO HOLDINGS LTD v SELWYN DISTRICT COUNCIL</i> [2015] NZHC 1674.....	8
A DUTY TO BE PROTECTED FROM ONESELF, A DUTY TOO FAR? <i>SINCLAIR v NEW ZEALAND RACING BOARD</i> [2015] NZHC 2067, [2016] 2 NZLR 186.....	10
A COUPLE OF BUILDING CASE EXAMPLES.....	11
<i>Gauld v Waimakariri District Council</i> [2013] NZHC 1923, [2013] NZAR 1320.....	12
<i>Body Corporate 326421 v Auckland City Council</i> [2015] NZHC 862.....	13
THE UNITED KINGDOM SUPREME COURT ON THE DUTY TO PROVIDE INFORMED CONSENT.....	14
<b>2. NON- DELEGABLE DUTIES AND VICARIOUS LIABILITY.....</b>	<b>19</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	19
THE BASIC PROBLEM RESTATED.....	19
WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN VICARIOUS LIABILITY AND A NON-DELEGABLE DUTY?.....	21
COX – THE CARELESS NON-EMPLOYED EMPLOYEE.....	22
MAHAMUD AND THE THUG EMPLOYEE.....	23
<b>3. DEFAMATION, PRIVACY, AND INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS MEET THE INTERNET AND THE HARMFUL DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS ACT.....</b>	<b>25</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	25
DEFAMATION AND THE PROBLEM OF “WEBSITE” PUBLICATION.....	25
<i>Murray v Wishart</i> [2014] NZCA 461, [2014] 3 NZLR 722.....	25
<i>How the Court approached the publication issue</i> .....	26
REVIVING THE TORT IN <i>WILKINSON v DOWNTON</i> .....	28
<i>Introduction</i> .....	28
<i>Wilkinson v Downton</i> .....	28
<i>Rhodes v OPO</i> .....	28
<i>Potential Applications</i> .....	30
<i>A little bit about negligent “nervous shock”</i> .....	30
THE IMPACT OF THE HARMFUL DIGITAL COMMUNICATIONS ACT.....	31
<i>Introduction to the Act</i> .....	31
<i>Who is caught?</i> .....	32
<i>The threshold of harm</i> .....	32
<i>Relationship to Privacy Law</i> .....	33
<i>Relationship to defamation</i> .....	33
<i>The Role of the Approved Agency</i> .....	33
<i>The Court’s Role</i> .....	34
<i>The Immunity for online content hosts</i> .....	35
<i>The nature of the immunity</i> .....	35
<i>Relationship with other defences</i> .....	36
REFORMS TO THE LAW OF PARLIAMENTARY PRIVILEGE.....	36
<i>The Parliamentary Privileges Act</i> .....	36
<i>Attorney-General v Leigh</i> .....	36
<i>The statutory reversal of Leigh</i> .....	37
<i>Buchanan v Jennnings</i> .....	38
<i>The approach of the Parliamentary Privileges Act</i> .....	39
<b>4. LAND-BASED TORTS: TRESPASS, NUISANCE AND RYLANDS V FLETCHER.....</b>	<b>41</b>
INTRODUCTION.....	41
TRESPASS: <i>LEASON v ATTORNEY-GENERAL</i> .....	41

NUISANCE: <i>LAWRENCE v FEN TIGERS</i> .....	44
<i>Prescription</i> .....	45
“Coming to the nuisance” .....	45
<i>The relevance of a defendant’s activities in assessing the locality</i> .....	46
<i>The relevance of planning permission</i> .....	46
<i>Remedies</i> .....	48
<i>Wu v Body Corporate 366611</i> .....	50
<i>The familiar problem of branches and leaves: Blakesfield Ltd v Foote [2015] NZHC 1325, [2015] NZAR 1140</i> .....	52
<i>Canada: Antrim Truck Centre Ltd v Ontario</i> .....	53
<i>Rylands v Fletcher</i> .....	54
<b>5. SOLICITOR’S NEGLIGENCE .....</b>	<b>55</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	55
COMMERCIAL ADVICE .....	56
<i>Introduction</i> .....	56
<i>Background</i> .....	56
<i>Macalister Mazengarb v Annan Law</i> .....	58
<i>Appleton &amp; Ors v Tauranga Law</i> .....	60
<i>Kaye v Norris Ward McKinnon</i> .....	62
CAUSATION .....	63
<i>Causation in Negligence</i> .....	63
<i>Blackwell &amp; Ors v Chick &amp; Ors</i> .....	66
LIMITATION .....	69
<i>Introduction</i> .....	69
<i>The basic law - Davys Burton v Thom</i> .....	69
<i>Maharaj &amp; Ors v Johnson &amp; Ors</i> .....	71
<i>Shaw v Macalister Todd Phillips</i> .....	73
<i>Sea Property Ltd &amp; Ors v Zeljan Unkovich &amp; Ors</i> .....	74
<i>James &amp; Ors v McMahon &amp; Ors</i> .....	74
<i>Chick v Blackwell &amp; Edmonds Judd</i> .....	75
<i>Conclusion</i> .....	76
<b>6. ECONOMIC TORTS.....</b>	<b>77</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	77
THE TORT OF CONSPIRACY.....	77
UNLAWFUL PURPOSE CONSPIRACY .....	78
CONSPIRACY BY UNLAWFUL MEANS.....	81
<i>Background – Causing Loss by Unlawful Means</i> .....	81
<i>Revenue and Customs Commissioners v Total Network SL</i> .....	82
<i>Wagner v Gill</i> .....	83
<b>7. TORTS AND LITIGATION .....</b>	<b>89</b>
MAINTENANCE, CHAMPERTY AND ABUSE OF PROCESS .....	89
<i>Introduction</i> .....	89
<i>Conditional fee arrangements</i> .....	90
<i>Litigation funding agreements</i> .....	91
<i>Waterhouse v Contractors Bonding Ltd</i> .....	93
<i>Body Corporate 160361 v BC 2004 Ltd (“Fleetwood Apartments”)</i> .....	95
<i>Body Corporate 326421 v Auckland Council</i> .....	98
<i>Walker v Forbes</i> .....	99
MALICIOUS PROSECUTION AND ABUSE OF PROCESS .....	103
<i>Introduction</i> .....	103
<i>Relationship between Abuse of Process and Malicious Prosecution</i> .....	104
<i>Crawford Adjusters v Sagikor General Insurance (Cayman) Ltd</i> .....	104
<i>Robinson v Whangarei Heads Enterprises Ltd</i> .....	106
<i>Conclusion</i> .....	108