

## **PRESENTER**



### **Marcus Elliott, Barrister, Christchurch**

Marcus is a barrister at Canterbury Chambers specialising in civil litigation. He was counsel assisting the Canterbury Earthquakes Royal Commission and is on the Christchurch Crown Prosecution Panel. Marcus is a member of the Canterbury/Westland Standards Committee 1, the Council of the New Zealand Bar Association and the Council of the Canterbury Branch of the NZLS. He regularly publishes articles on the law and issues affecting the profession. Marcus's writing can be found at [www.marcuselliott.com](http://www.marcuselliott.com)

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

Cover and text stocks used in this publication are from Forestry Stewardship Council certified mills, manufactured under the environmentally responsible paper manufactured environmental management system ISO 14001, using pulp from well managed forests and other controlled sources.

# CONTENTS

<b>EXPERT WITNESSES – FROM START TO FINISH (OR SEVEN SOCRATIC DIALOGUES).....</b>	<b>1</b>
NOTE TO READERS: .....	1
<b>1. AN INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. DIALOGUE 1: ON DIRECT EVIDENCE AND NON-EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE .....</b>	<b>9</b>
A DIALOGUE WITH JOHN (A LAWYER AND SOPHIST) AND SARAH (A LAWYER) .....	9
<b>3. DIALOGUE 2: ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT OPINION EVIDENCE.....</b>	<b>19</b>
A PROBLEM IN GREYMOUTH: HOW DO I PROVE THAT MY CLIENT’S EX-HUSBAND IS THE DEVIL? .....	19
<b>4. DIALOGUE 3: ENGAGING AN EXPERT .....</b>	<b>27</b>
LOST IN CHRISTCHURCH .....	27
<b>5. DIALOGUE 4: ON THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR EXPERT WITNESSES .....</b>	<b>33</b>
THE LAWYER, THE CLIENT, HER HUSBAND AND THE EXPERT.....	33
<b>6. DIALOGUE 5: ON ADDUCING EXPERT EVIDENCE .....</b>	<b>37</b>
DESPAIR ON THE STEPS OF THE SUPREME COURT.....	37
<b>7. DIALOGUE 6: CROSS EXAMINATION .....</b>	<b>43</b>
THE MOTHER-IN-LAW DILEMMA .....	43
<b>8. DIALOGUE 7: INTO THE TUB .....</b>	<b>47</b>
WHAT SHOULD A LAWYER DO?.....	47
<b>9. QUESTIONS POSED BY A MAD LAW PROFESSOR IN A MISGUIDED ATTEMPT TO FACILITATE YOUR EDUCATION ABOUT EXPERT WITNESSES .....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>10. APPENDICES .....</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>11. APPENDIX A .....</b>	<b>55</b>
I MET SOCRATES ON NEW YEAR’S DAY .....	55
<b>12. APPENDIX B.....</b>	<b>59</b>
CODE OF CONDUCT FOR EXPERT WITNESSES.....	59
<i>Duty to the Court</i> .....	59
<i>Evidence of expert witness</i> .....	59
<i>Duty to confer</i> .....	59
<b>13. APPENDIX C .....</b>	<b>61</b>
PROTOCOLS FOR EXPERT CONFERRAL UNDER THE HIGH COURT EARTHQUAKE LIST .....	61
<b>14. APPENDIX D .....</b>	<b>63</b>
JOINT REPORT FORMAT .....	63
<b>15. APPENDIX E.....</b>	<b>67</b>
QUESTIONS POSED BY AN INSANE LAW PROFESSOR IN THE FIRST YEAR EXAMINATION AT A LAW SCHOOL (WHICH IS SOMEWHERE ELSE IN THE WORLD) LAST YEAR AND WHICH WERE LEAKED TO ME BY AN AGGRIEVED STUDENT.....	67