

PRESENTERS



Michael Bywell, Consultant, Minter Ellison Rudd Watts, Auckland

Michael, a Consultant with Minter Ellison Rudd Watts, is a senior IT lawyer who currently undertakes work in New Zealand and Australia.

He has acted on some of the world's largest and most complex IT projects in both the public and private sectors.

Michael has particular expertise in relation to dispute management and prevention in the IT area.

Michael led the TMT Group at SJ Berwin LLP in London before leaving to work in Australia and New Zealand at the end of 2009.



Graeme Crombie, Partner, Minter Ellison Rudd Watts, Wellington

Graeme, a Partner at Minter Ellison Rudd Watts, is an experienced corporate and commercial lawyer who acts for both private and public sector clients. He works with clients to identify solutions, the risks involved and then balance legal certainty with commercial reality.

Graeme specialises in ICT and has acted on some of the country's largest and complex technology transactions, such as the Telecom and Fonterra outsourcings to EDS (now HP) and the State Services Commission/Department of Internal Affairs' Authentication Programme.

He regularly advises clients on obligations under the Privacy Act and provisions in cloud and other ICT contracts to protect data and privacy. In the public sector, Graeme also advises agencies on the requirements under the myriad of statutes, guidelines and rules that apply to them in procurement projects.

Graeme has been ranked as a leading TMT lawyer in Chambers Asia Pacific since 2007/2008.



Matt Vaughan, General Counsel, Xero, Wellington

Matt is Xero's General Counsel and Company Secretary, responsible for legal strategy and compliance across Xero's global business.

Matt started his career in private practice at Henry Davis York in Sydney, Australia. He has since worked in a variety of private practice and in-house roles, advising on all aspects of corporate law with a focus on venture capital and technology. Prior to Xero, he held roles at PwC and Charter Hall in Sydney, and in a top tier offshore law firm primarily advising growth companies operating out of North and South America. Matt is qualified to practise as a lawyer in New Zealand, Australia, and the Cayman Islands.

Cover and text stocks used in this publication are from Forestry Stewardship Council certified mills, manufactured under the environmentally responsible paper manufactured environmental management system ISO 14001, using pulp from well managed forests and other controlled sources.

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. A DIFFERENT LANDSCAPE FOR LAWYERS	2
USEFUL INFORMATION	2
<i>Guides</i>	2
<i>Relevant Legislation</i>	3
<i>Guidelines</i>	3
<i>CloudCode</i>	4
WHAT TO FOCUS ON.....	5
<i>Introduction</i>	5
<i>Data</i>	5
<i>Example 1</i>	7
<i>Example 2</i>	7
<i>Business continuity plans</i>	8
<i>Security</i>	8
<i>Status of the provider</i>	8
<i>Insurance</i>	9
<i>Limitation on liability</i>	9
<i>Contract variations</i>	9
<i>Governing law</i>	10
<i>Example 3</i>	10
CONCLUSION.....	10
3. XERO – A “CASE STUDY” IN CLOUD COMPUTING.....	12
WHAT IS XERO?.....	12
WHY IS THE “CLOUD” COMPELLING?.....	12
CONTRACTING WITH CLOUD PROVIDERS	13
PRIVACY AND DATA “RIGHTS”	14
<i>Types of data</i>	14
<i>Ownership of information</i>	14
<i>Privacy legislation</i>	14
<i>Intellectual property</i>	16
<i>Confidential information</i>	16
DISPUTES IN THE CLOUD.....	17
<i>Third party requests</i>	17
<i>Location of documents</i>	17
CRYSTAL BALL GAZING	17
CONCLUSION.....	18
4. HOW TO REDUCE THE RISK OF IT PROJECT FAILURE: CASE STUDIES AND LESSONS	19
INTRODUCTION	19
IT PROJECTS: FACTS AND FIGURES	19
<i>Standish Group Study 2013</i>	19
<i>Oxford & McKinseys Study 2011</i>	20
<i>Victorian Ombudsmen Study 2011</i>	20
MAXIMISING SUCCESS.....	20
<i>Standish Group</i>	20
<i>Oxford and McKinseys</i>	21
<i>Other possible factors for maximising success</i>	21
NOVOPAY	22
<i>Payroll solution for NZ schools</i>	22
<i>Settlement of Novopay and transition back to public sector</i>	22
<i>Novopay: main findings</i>	23
<i>Novopay: lessons</i>	24
QUEENSLAND HEALTH	25
<i>QH: project delivery – findings</i>	25
<i>QH: project delivery – lessons</i>	26

<i>QH: probity</i>	26
<i>QH: lessons in what to avoid.</i>	26
<i>QH: Programme Delivery Director.....</i>	26
<i>Inquiry findings</i>	27
<i>Further conduct by Programme Delivery Director.....</i>	27
<i>QH: vendor conduct</i>	28
<i>QH: vendor conduct – summary.....</i>	28
<i>Findings – overall.....</i>	29
<i>QH: ITO evaluation.....</i>	29
<i>Inquiry finding.....</i>	29
<i>QH: Probity Advisor and Conflicts Register – findings</i>	30
<i>QH: probity and conflicts of interest – lessons.....</i>	30
<i>QH: settlement with IBM.....</i>	31
<i>SKY v EDS.....</i>	31
<i>Sky v EDS: estimating</i>	31
<i>Sky v EDS: estimating – lessons</i>	32
CONCLUSION.....	32