

PRESENTER



Vernon Rive, Barrister, Auckland

Vernon Rive joined the AUT Law School in 2009 after over 14 years of private practice, latterly as a partner in the Environmental/Resource Management team at the national law firm Chapman Tripp. He lectures and researches in resource management, public, and international environmental law and is a regular contributor to New Zealand and international academic publications. Vernon is also a practising barrister.

Acknowledgement

This webinar is sourced from a session delivered at the NZLS CLE Ltd, Environmental Law Intensive (1-2 April 2014).

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

1. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS, “THE ENVIRONMENT” AND PERMITTED BASELINE.....	1
THE FRANKTON FLATS CASES.....	2
THE ESCARPMENT MINE CASES	4
<i>Unsuccessful leave applications</i>	7
THE CARRINGTON CASES	8
<i>Background</i>	8
<i>Subdivision application – the Environment Court decision</i>	9
<i>High Court judgment</i>	10
<i>Court of Appeal decision</i>	11
THE KAPITI EXPRESSWAY CASES	11
<i>Supreme Court – decision declining leave</i>	14
<i>Comments</i>	14
ONGOING RELEVANCE OF <i>DISCOUNT BRANDS</i> TO NOTIFICATION DECISIONS	16
<i>Carrington in the High Court</i>	16
<i>Ferrymead Retail Ltd v Christchurch City Council</i>	17
<i>Coro Mainstreet (Incorporated) v Thames- Coromandel District Council</i>	17
<i>Far North District Council v Te Runanga A-Iwi O Ngati Kahu (CA)</i>	18
<i>Green v Auckland Council</i>	18
<i>Te Rūnanga-A-Iwi O Ngāti Kahu v Far North District Council – leave to appeal to the Supreme Court</i>	19
<i>Comments</i>	19
2. JUDICIAL REVIEW OF DECISIONS RELATING TO CHRISTCHURCH RECOVERY AND DEVELOPMENT	21
<i>Court of Appeal decision</i>	23
<i>Application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court</i>	25
<i>Comments</i>	25
3. BIODIVERSITY OFFSETS.....	27
<i>Introduction</i>	27
<i>Interim decision of the Environment Court</i>	27
<i>High Court appeal and decision - biodiversity offsets</i>	28
<i>Were the proposed biodiversity offset and compensation measures properly regarded as ‘mitigation’?</i>	29
<i>Second interim decision and final substantive decision of the Environment Court</i>	31
<i>Comments</i>	32