

CONTENTS

1. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS, “THE ENVIRONMENT” AND PERMITTED BASELINE.....	1
THE FRANKTON FLATS CASES.....	2
THE ESCARPMENT MINE CASES	4
<i>Unsuccessful leave applications</i>	7
THE CARRINGTON CASES	8
<i>Background</i>	8
<i>Subdivision application – the Environment Court decision</i>	9
<i>High Court judgment</i>	10
<i>Court of Appeal decision</i>	11
THE KAPITI EXPRESSWAY CASES	11
<i>Supreme Court – decision declining leave</i>	14
<i>Comments</i>	14
ONGOING RELEVANCE OF <i>DISCOUNT BRANDS</i> TO NOTIFICATION DECISIONS	16
<i>Carrington in the High Court</i>	16
<i>Ferrymead Retail Ltd v Christchurch City Council</i>	17
<i>Coro Mainstreet (Incorporated) v Thames- Coromandel District Council</i>	17
<i>Far North District Council v Te Runanga A-Iwi O Ngati Kahu (CA)</i>	18
<i>Green v Auckland Council</i>	18
<i>Te Rūnanga-A-Iwi O Ngāti Kahu v Far North District Council – leave to appeal to the Supreme Court</i>	19
<i>Comments</i>	19
2. JUDICIAL REVIEW OF DECISIONS RELATING TO CHRISTCHURCH RECOVERY AND DEVELOPMENT	21
<i>Court of Appeal decision</i>	23
<i>Application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court</i>	25
<i>Comments</i>	25
3. BIODIVERSITY OFFSETS.....	27
<i>Introduction</i>	27
<i>Interim decision of the Environment Court</i>	27
<i>High Court appeal and decision - biodiversity offsets</i>	28
<i>Were the proposed biodiversity offset and compensation measures properly regarded as ‘mitigation’?</i>	29
<i>Second interim decision and final substantive decision of the Environment Court</i>	31
<i>Comments</i>	32