

PRESENTERS



Vanessa Bruton, Partner, TGT Legal, Auckland

Vanessa leads the litigation team at TGT Legal, a boutique trust firm, which she was invited to join in March 2011 as a result of increasing demand for advice in contentious trust matters. She was previously a general litigation partner at Brookfields Lawyers for seven years. Vanessa now practises almost exclusively in trust and estate disputes, including relationship property disputes where trusts are involved. Her practice includes both domestic and international trust disputes where there is a New Zealand law or jurisdiction aspect. Vanessa is a member of STEP (Society for Trust and Estate Practitioners) and a frequent speaker at NZLS, ADLS and other seminars.



Isaac Hikaka, Partner, LeeSalmonLong, Auckland

Isaac is a litigator from the specialist dispute resolution firm, LeeSalmonLong. He has particular expertise in disputes involving the interaction between trusts and other areas of the law, especially in complex relationship property claims. Isaac regularly appears as counsel in such disputes, and has acted for husbands, wives and trustees. He also holds a Master of Laws with First Class Honours, as part of which he examined the nature of New Zealand discretionary trusts and the interaction between trusts and contracting out agreements under the Property (Relationships) Act.

Acknowledgement

Chapter 4 is an updated version of a paper by Bruce Corkill QC and Vanessa Bruton for the 2011 NZLS Trusts Conference – “Trustee litigation in the family context: Tools in the Family Court, and tools in the High Court.” We acknowledge Bruce’s permission to reproduce the paper, and thank him for his contribution.

We also thank Joanna Caen for her work in chapter 3 on the vexed question of jurisdiction, and Dominica Urmson (nee Finch) for her assistance.

The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 1 – KEY PRINCIPLES AND FOUNDATIONS OF TRUSTS	3
WHAT IS A TRUST?	3
WHEN DOES A TRUST EXIST?	3
THEORETICAL UNDERPINNINGS OF TRUSTS	4
TRUSTEES, BENEFICIARIES AND THIRD PARTIES – RIGHTS AGAINST EACH OTHER.....	5
<i>Beneficiaries’ rights against trustees</i>	5
<i>Trustees’ rights against beneficiaries</i>	5
<i>Trustees’ rights against third parties</i>	6
<i>Third parties’ rights against trustees</i>	6
<i>Beneficiaries’ rights against third parties</i>	6
<i>Third parties’ rights against beneficiaries</i>	6
TYPICAL FEATURES OF THE NEW ZEALAND DISCRETIONARY FAMILY TRUST	6
CHAPTER 2 – ALL IS ROSY – THE ESTATE AND ASSET PLANNING STAGE	9
WHAT IS BEING ASKED FOR, AND WHAT STRUCTURE IS BEST TO ACHIEVE THAT?	9
ONGOING OVERSIGHT	10
TRUST PROPERTY AND S 21 AGREEMENTS.....	11
<i>The issue</i>	11
<i>Special nature of s 21 agreements</i>	11
<i>Recent judicial commentary</i>	12
<i>Can trust property be treated as “property” for the purposes of a s 21 agreement?</i>	14
<i>What is the correct analysis of a s 21 agreement that purports to deal with trust property?</i>	18
<i>Practical issues with hybrid agreements and their proper solutions</i>	19
CHAPTER 3 – THE CUSTARD STAGE – SETTING THE SCENE AND THE JURISDICTIONAL QUAGMIRE	21
INTRODUCTION	21
OVERVIEW OF THE JURISDICTION OF THE HIGH COURT VS. FAMILY COURTS	21
STATUTORY PROVISIONS CONSTITUTING THE FAMILY COURT AND STATING ITS JURISDICTION	22
CAN FAMILY COURT JUDGES CONCURRENTLY EXERCISE THEIR POWERS AS DISTRICT COURT JUDGES? ..	25
WHAT IS THE EXTENT OF THE DISTRICT COURT’S EQUITABLE JURISDICTION?	29
<i>What is the “equitable jurisdiction” of the High Court in relation to trusts for the purposes of s 34(1) of the Family Proceedings Act 1980?</i>	29
<i>Section 34(2) FPA – Proceedings under statute where High Court has exclusive jurisdiction (ie the Trustee Act 1956)</i>	30
WHAT ABOUT “SHAM” TRUSTS AND “ILLUSORY/NO INTENTION” TRUSTS?.....	32
USE OF S 25(3) PROPERTY (RELATIONSHIPS) ACT 1976 TO MAKE ORDERS OVER TRUSTS	33
LAW COMMISSION PROPOSALS	34
CHAPTER 4 – ACTING FOR THE PLAINTIFF	37
SECTION 4 PRA	37
PART A – PROCEDURAL POINTS FOR THE CLAIMANT.....	39
(1) <i>Gathering information</i>	39
(2) <i>Framing and running your case</i>	40
PART B – TOOLS IN THE FAMILY COURT.....	42
(1) <i>Package/bundle of rights</i>	42
<i>Birth of the “Package of Rights” – Chambers J – Walker v Walker (CA) 2007</i>	43
<i>Conclusion on Bundle/Package – current position</i>	49
(2) <i>Application under s 44 Property (Relationships) Act 1976 to set aside a disposition</i>	52
(3) <i>Application under s 44C Property (Relationships) Act 1976 for compensation</i>	56
(4) <i>Application under s 182 Family Proceedings Act 1980 to vary a trust</i>	59
<i>Conclusions on s 182</i>	65
PART C – TOOLS IN THE HIGH COURT (AND POSSIBLY FAMILY COURT)	66
(1) <i>Constructive trusts</i>	66
(2) <i>Resulting trust</i>	68
(3) <i>Sham/alter ego trusts/absence of certainty of intention claims</i>	70

(4) Application to remove trustees.....	72
(5) Section 68 – Application to review decision of trustees.....	72
(6) Property Law Act 2007.....	73
(7) Breach of trust actions (see Chapter 6).....	74
CHAPTER 5 – ACTING FOR THE SPOUSE WHO WANTS THE TRUST TO SURVIVE THE BREAK-UP AND THE EX TO RECEIVE NO OR LIMITED PROVISION FROM THE TRUST	77
INTRODUCTION.....	77
(1) Before and during the rosy stage – sham and “fairness” risks.....	77
Sham/illusory trust distinction.....	81
A confusion of labels?.....	82
Claymark Trust – s 182 claims.....	83
Conclusion on “no trust created”/sham and fairness risks.....	84
(2) Lessons for trustees and their advisors at the structuring/administration rosy stage.....	85
The drafting perspective.....	85
Administration perspective.....	86
Fairness perspective.....	87
(3) After it turns to custard – use of a personal power of appointment to remove other spouse as trustee or beneficiary.....	87
Use of a personal power to hire and fire trustees for personal advantage.....	87
(4) Representation Issues.....	89
CHAPTER 6 – LAWYERS AS TRUSTEES AND ADVISORS TO TRUSTEES – AVOIDING BEING CAUGHT IN THE CROSS-FIRE	91
INTRODUCTION – SETTING THE SCENE.....	91
Recent cases – High standards imposed on trustees and their advisors.....	91
Lessons to be learnt.....	93
AFTER THE SPLIT – WHEN THE INDEPENDENT TRUSTEE/ADVISOR IS TOLD THE SPOUSES HAVE SPLIT.....	94
Conflict of interest issues.....	94
Separate representation.....	94
Independent advice.....	94
CONCLUSION.....	95
CHAPTER 7 – RELATIONSHIP PROPERTY, TRUSTS AND DEATH.....	97
INTRODUCTION.....	97
OPTIONS AVAILABLE TO THE SURVIVING SPOUSE UNDER THE PRA.....	98
OBLIGATIONS ON ADVISOR TO SURVIVING SPOUSE WHEN ADVISING ON CHOICE OF OPTION.....	98
What assets form part of the estate – funds in joint bank accounts?.....	99
What if there is not enough there for the surviving spouse, under either option “A” or “B”?.....	100
What if there is a discretionary family trust involved?.....	101
JEW v TAB – TREATMENT OF DISCRETIONARY FAMILY TRUST IN FPA CONTEXT.....	102
Observations for advisors.....	103
COMPLEXITIES FOR EXECUTORS.....	104
Conflict of interest issues.....	104
Role of the “independent” executor.....	105
APPENDIX A.....	110
TRUSTEE LITIGATION IN THE FAMILY CONTEXT.....	110
Tools in the Family Court.....	110
Tools in the High Court and possibly Family Court.....	112
Claims in the High Court alone/best pursued in High Court.....	114
APPENDIX B.....	117
ANALYSIS OF TRUST DEEDS IN CLAYTON AND HOTCHIN.....	117